Consensus-Based Decision Making

Recovery is learning to live by spiritual principles. CBDM is making decisions by spiritual principles.

Why Consensus-Based Decision Making?

With its emphasis on inclusion, collaboration, and consensus-building, CBDM seems more in harmony with our spiritual principles than a process centered around debate and parliamentary procedure. The principle of group conscience refers to more than just a vote, and CBDM is a cooperative form of decision making well suited to the development of a collective conscience. A Guide to World Services in NA explains,

Consensus is based on the belief that each person has some part of the truth and no one person has all of it (no matter how tempting it is to believe that we ourselves *really* know best!). The consensus process is what a group goes through to reach an agreement. It is how we manifest the idea "together we can do what we cannot do alone" in a service setting.

Our service bodies should be a forum where trusted servants can be heard regardless of how well they know Roberts Rules of Order. Parliamentary procedure can marginalize addicts who do not know "the rules" well. CBDM offers a potentially more inclusive process for discussion.

What is Consensus-Based Decision Making?

CBDM is a method of making decisions that attempts to hear all voices and synthesize participants' views in order to come to a resolution or decision acceptable to the group as a whole. In strict consensus, everyone in the group agrees or gives their consent; CBDM does not require unanimity the way that strict consensus does. It might be more accurate to say in a CBDM body, we "aspire" to consensus.

How Does the Process Work?

Ideally, the discussion that takes place when using a consensus-based model is an evolutionary process. There is a common misconception that building consensus is easy or quick and painless, when in truth it is a building process that takes time and faith. Rather than speaking for or against a static proposal, participants in a CBDM body try to work together to build a proposal that the body or most of the body can agree upon. Of course, that's an ideal, and the reality is that CBDM isn't always that productive or harmonious, but the discussion in a CBDM body is designed to hear and synthesize the range of ideas rather than just listen to "pros" and "cons." It's about building consensus not winning or losing a debate.

- Introduce & Discuss Proposal
- Take Pulse
- Move to a Decision
- Continue Discussion (if no decision)
- Modify Proposal
- Take Pulse Move to A Decision
- Wait Until Next Meeting
- Give the Proposal to a Specific Body to Refine

Facilitating a CBDM Body

Some say CBDM is a process that makes it easier for participants and harder for the facilitator, and there's some truth to that. One of the biggest advantages of CBDM is that participants can more easily share their views on a proposal or issue. But one of the biggest challenges of CBDM is that it requires a strong facilitator who has the trust of the body to ensure that decision making doesn't turn into an open sharing session. Conversations need to progress toward a decision and that requires some guidance on the part of the facilitator. At the same time, the facilitator needs to have a good sense of the body so that that guidance doesn't feel like control.

Participating in a CBDM Body

Being an effective participant in a CBDM body is, in some respects, easier than being an effective participant in a parliamentary procedure-based body. You needn't be proficient at Robert's Rules or some other set or parliamentary guidelines. However, because the discussion in CBDM isn't just about weighing in with a pro or a con, but should help evolve a proposal, it is important to be flexible and to be a good listener. Open-mindedness is key. While CBDM "considers" all voices, that does not mean that all opinions result in or even influence the final decision.

Whatever the outcome of discussions, everyone needs to be ready to shoulder the load to do the work involved to implement the decisions. As our Basic Text says "All that is required is willingness. All that is essential is that we open the door to a Power greater than ourselves."

What to Consider When Adopting CBDM

Your community will need to answer a number of questions for itself when developing a consensus-based process for making decisions. Talk together about what will work best for your service body. What will be most effective for a large group in a community known for spirited debate won't be the same as the most effective process for a handful of addicts in a place where they generally come to an easy agreement on most things.

You will have to make decisions about two main issues:

- 1. What is the level of participation of the different members at the service meeting—elected trusted servants, and interested members?
- Who are the voting members of the service body?
- Who is allowed to make proposals?
- Who is allowed to speak to proposals?
- 2. What is the threshold for decision making (i.e., how many must be in favor of a proposal before it "passes"). This can range anywhere from a simple majority to 80% or even more, depending on the culture of your service body and perhaps the type of decision being made. Many service bodies require a higher threshold for budget or policy decisions, for instance.

Defining members' level of participation and determining thresholds for decision making is not a "violation" of CBDM. There is nothing wrong with according different levels of participation for different members or establishing a percentage needed to approve a proposal.

There are different variations of CBDM, but the basic steps are fairly consistent. Within those basic steps there is a great deal of variety depending on the individual service body's process and the type of decision being made. Below, the basic steps of CBDM are in bold, and some of the variations are described next to those steps.

We offer these basic steps to help service bodies understand how the basics of a consensus-based process work. These steps should be adapted to fit the needs of your community.

- Introduce a proposal Your community will have to decide who can introduce a proposal.
 - Most bodies allow only trusted servants to make proposals.
- Discuss the proposal Your community will have to decide who can speak to a proposal.
 - It's common for consensus-based bodies to allow anyone present to speak, though some recognize trusted servants before hearing from interested members.
- Take a pulse of the body (e.g., a straw poll) In most cases, a straw poll (a non-binding vote) is the easiest way to get a sense of whether a body supports a proposal. Again, there is variation here. Each community will have to decide for itself who can participate in straw polls. Though it may be common for any interested member to have a voice in CBDM, it's less common for any interested member to have a vote. For our purposes only GSR'S will have a vote.
- **Either** continue **discussion or move to a decision**, depending on the pulse, If the body seems divided or unready to move to a decision, there are a number of options about what to do next.
 - You can modify the proposal based on what's been heard in discussion
 - You can ask members who are against the proposal to explain their objections
 - You can decide the body isn't ready to make a decision and wait until the next meeting
 - You can give the proposal to a specific body (e.g., a workgroup) to refine
 - You can recheck the pulse after more discussion

The amount of discussion and the number of times the pulse of the body is taken will vary depending on the service body and the facilitator.

• Make a decision about the proposal

Your community will have to determine what threshold is needed to pass a proposal.

For our purposes a simple majority vote

Some communities take a simple "for" and "against" vote to make a decision. Others include options such as "assent with reservation" for members who basically agree with the proposal but have some issues that have not been addressed and "stand aside" for members who do not agree with the proposal but will not stand in the way of it passing.